

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/795,993	03/10/2004	Wesley M. Mays	114944-00451 2242		
27557	7590 10/06/2006	•	EXAMINER		
BLANK ROME LLP 600 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE, N.W.			POPE, DARYL C		
	ΓΟΝ, DC 20037	. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ŕ		2612		
. •		•	DATE MAILED: 10/06/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

K/	
וס	

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/795,993 MAYS, WESLEY M.		
Examiner	Art Unit	
DARYL C. POPE	2612	

	LAGITITIE	Air oille.					
	DARYL C. POPE	2612					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 25 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI		<del>-</del>					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			coausc				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		,,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		maliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Amendment	(F10L-324).				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wi	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.						
Claim(s) allowed:	•	•					
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .			•				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	It hofore or on the date of filing a N	ntion of Annual will no	t he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	rit or other evidence is	necessary and				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a				
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>SEE ATTACHMENT.</u></li> </ol>	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. ☐ Other:							
		DARYL C POPE Primary Examiner					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20060926

Application/Control Number: 10/795,993

Art Unit: 2612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 8/28/2006 and 9/25/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant contends that the final office action dated 7/25/2006 is improper because examiner's new grounds of rejection of claims 10-16 would have obviated a final rejection. The examiner's alleged new grounds of rejection was not in fact a new ground of rejection, but instead was a rejection in support of a prior common knowledge finding in the office action dated 1/25/2006.

In accordance with MPEP 2144.03 section D., "If the examiner adds a reference in the next Office action after applicant's rebuttal, and the newly added reference is added only as directly corresponding evidence to support the prior common knowledge finding, and it does not result in a new issue or constitute a new ground of rejection, the Office action may be made final."

In view of this, the applicant's arguments, and as well request to withdraw final rejection is not deemed persuasive.

### **Conclusion**

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DARYL C. POPE whose telephone number is 571-272-2959. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 9:00-7:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MIKE HORABIK can be reached on 571-272-3068. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/795,993

Art Unit: 2612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daryl C. Pope

September 26, 2006

DARYL C POPE Primary Examiner

-Art Unit 2612